

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAWRENCE G. HASH,
Plaintiff,

v.

M. GIACOMAZZI, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-01116 EJD (PR)

**ORDER OF SERVICE ON NEWLY
IDENTIFIED DEFENDANTS;
DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO
FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR
NOTICE REGARDING SUCH
MOTION; INSTRUCTIONS TO
CLERK**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the instant pro se civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against numerous prison staff at San Quentin State Prison (“SQSP”) and other individuals. The amended complaint is the operative complaint in this matter. Dkt. Nos. 15, 15-1. The Court instructed Plaintiff to file a supplemental pleading to identify the appropriate SHO (senior hearing officer) who presided over the final disciplinary hearing regarding the five RVRs at issue in Plaintiff’s due process claim. Dkt. No. 28 at 15; Dkt. No. 54 at 5. Plaintiff filed a supplemental pleading. Dkt. No. 55. The Court will order the matter served on the identified SHOs for each of the 5 Rules Violations Reports which are the subject of this action.

DISCUSSION

A. SHOs

Claims 1 and 2 of the amended complaint challenged the following five RVRs: (1) RVR-log #4671; (2) RVR-log #4973; (3) RVR-log #6610; (4) RVR-log #6611; and (5) RVR-log #6612. Dkt. No. 15 at 15. However, the supplemental amendment lists an

additional RVR, Log No. 4690, which involves possession of a deadly weapon.¹ Dkt. No. 63 at 2. Because Log No. 4690 is not the subject of this litigation, the Court will disregard it here.

The Court will order the matter served on the SHOs identified in the five RVRs relevant to this action: (1) B. Walls (SHO for RVRs #4671 and #4973); (2) D. Spurgeon (SHO for RVR #6610); and B. Haub (SHO for RVR #6611).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

1. The following defendants at SQSP shall be served:
 - a. **Lt. B. Walls**
 - b. **Lt. D. Spurgeon**
 - c. **Lt. B. Haub**

Service on the listed defendant(s) shall proceed under the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's (CDCR) e-service program for civil rights cases from prisoners in CDCR custody. In accordance with the program, the clerk is directed to serve on CDCR via email the following documents: the operative complaint and any attachments thereto, (Dkt. Nos. 15, 15-1), this order of service, a copy of the court orders filed under Docket Nos. 28 and 54, and a CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver form. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff.

No later than 40 days after service of this order via email on CDCR, CDCR shall provide the court a completed CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver advising the court which defendant(s) listed in this order will be waiving service of process without the need for service by the United States Marshal Service (USMS) and which defendant(s) decline to waive service or could not be reached. CDCR also shall provide a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver to the California Attorney General's Office which, within 21

¹ An RVR for possession of a deadly weapon is being challenged in a separate action, Case No. 22-07162. However, it is unclear whether Log No. 4690 is the same RVR.

1 days, shall file with the court a waiver of service of process for the defendant(s) who are
2 waiving service.

3 Upon receipt of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver, the clerk shall prepare for
4 each defendant who has not waived service according to the CDCR Report of E-Service
5 Waiver a USM-205 Form. The clerk shall provide to the USMS the completed USM-205
6 forms and copies of this order, the summons and the operative complaint for service upon
7 each defendant who has not waived service. The clerk also shall provide to the USMS a
8 copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver.

9 2. No later than **ninety-one (91) days** from the date this order is filed,
10 Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with
11 respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above.

12 a. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate
13 factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of
14 Civil Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor
15 qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If any Defendant is of the
16 opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the
17 Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

18 b. **In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the**
19 **Ninth Circuit has held that Plaintiff must be concurrently provided the appropriate**
20 **warnings under Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See**
21 **Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 940 (9th Cir. 2012).**

22 3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court
23 and served on Defendants no later than **twenty-eight (28) days** from the date Defendants'
24 motion is filed.

25 Plaintiff is also advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
26 Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment
27 must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential
28 element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to

Defendants' motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against Plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53–54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

4. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days** after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

6. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.

7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

8. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

9. Extensions of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 5, 2023



EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge